3GPP TR 29.800 V7.0.0 (2006-03)

Technical Report

3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Core Network & Terminals; Signalling System No. 7 (SS7) Security Gateway; Architecture, functional description and protocol details (Release 7)



The present document has been developed within the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP TM) and may be further elaborated for the purposes of 3GPP.

The present document has not been subject to any approval process by the 3GPP Organizational Partners and shall not be implemented. This Specification is provided for future development work within 3GPP only. The Organizational Partners accept no liability for any use of this Specification. Specifications and reports for implementation of the 3GPP TM system should be obtained via the 3GPP Organizational Partners' Publications Offices. Keywords 3GPP, Security, SS7

2

3GPP

Postal address

3GPP support office address 650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis Valbonne - FRANCE Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Internet

http://www.3gpp.org

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© 2006, 3GPP Organizational Partners (ARIB, ATIS, CCSA, ETSI, TTA, TTC). All rights reserved.

Contents

Forew	vord	4
Introd	luction	4
1	Scope	5
2	References	5
3	Definitions, symbols and abbreviations	5
3.1	Definitions	5
3.2	Symbols	6
3.3	Abbreviations	6
4	Network Architecture	6
4.1	Scenarios	7
4.1.1	Outbound traffic (not yet protected) from own to foreign NE	7
4.1.2	Inbound traffic from foreign to own NE	7
4.1.3	Inbound transit traffic	8
4.1.4	Outbound transit traffic (not relayed)	9
4.1.5	Outbound traffic from own to own NE	9
4.1.6	Inbound traffic from own to own NE	10
4.1.7	Outbound traffic from foreign to own NE	11
4.1.8	Inbound traffic from own to foreign NE	11
4.1.9	Outbound traffic (already protected) from own to foreign NE	12
4.1.10	Outbound transit traffic (relayed by SRF)	13
5	Detailed Behaviour of the SS7 Security Gateway	13
5.1	TCAP user traffic	13
5.1.1 (General 13	
5.1.2	Interactions with Mobile Number Portability	28
5.1.3	Interactions with SCCP segmentation	28
5.1.4	Protocol Details	29
5.1.4.1	Transformation of unprotected message to protected message	29
5.1.4.2	2. Transformation of protected message to unprotected message	33
5.1.4.3	B Handling of received XUDTS messages and UDTS messages	36
5.2 See	curity Policy Database	38
5.3 Sec	curity Association Database	39
Anne	x A (informative): Migration Strategy	40
Transi	tion phase from unprotected to protected message transfer	40
Transition phase from protected to unprotected message transfer		
Transition phase from one protection mode to another protection mode		
Anne	x B: Change history	42

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

Introduction

Starting with 3GPP Release 4, the MAP protocol [1] allows for secure transport of signalling messages between MAP network entities (NEs). However, the standardized Rel-4 solution has several shortcomings:

- 1. It is limited to secure MAP [1]. For other TCAP user like CAP [2] or SSAP [3] secure transport is not specified.
- 2. It strongly impacts all MAP NEs (HLR, MSC-VLR, SGSN, gsmSCF, ...) resulting in high implementation costs.

For these and other reasons, GSMA IREG have requested to complete the gateway design and specification (see N4-041252). As a consequence SA3 have further refined their requirements (see C4-050523):

- 1. The gateway concept will only include two 'protection profiles': 'Integrity only' and 'integrity and confidentiality'.
- 2. The security mechanism will be applied by the gateway above the TCAP layer. The target is to apply protection in a way which is agnostic to the application protocol, so that it can protect other protocols in addition to MAP. It is also hoped that the message format, security header, etc. from the MAPsec Rel-4 specification can be re-used.
- 3. Explicit verification of SCCP and MAP-payload addresses against MAPsec SPI will be studied.
- 4. The MAPsec gateway concept and the MAPsec Rel-4 NE-based solution need not coexist. A solution will be found, in co-operation with the specification manager, e.g. to 'delete' the MAPsec Rel-4 NE-based solution from the 3GPP specs, or to make it clear in the gateway specifications that interworking with the MAPsec Rel-4 NE-based solution is not supported.

1 Scope

The present document is a temporary container for the functional description of the SS7 Security Gateway. The document covers also network architecture, routeing considerations, and protocol details. The contents of this report when stable will be moved into a Technical Specification 3GPP TS ab.cde. At the same time specific material related to MAPsec will be removed from 3GPP TS 29.002 [1].

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.
- [1] 3GPP TS 29.002: "Mobile Application Part (MAP) specification".
- [2] 3GPP TS 29.078: "Customised Applications for Mobile network Enhanced Logic (CAMEL) Phase 4: CAMEL Application Part (CAP) specification".
- [3] ETSI ETS 300 358: "ISDN Completion of Calls to Busy Subscriber (CCBS) supplementary service; Functional capabilities and information flows".
- [4] 3GPP TS 23.066: "Support of Mobile Number Portability (MNP); Technical Realisation Stage 2".
- [5] ITU-T Recommendation Q.773: "Specifications of Signalling System No.7; Transaction capabilities formats and encoding".
- [6] 3GPP TS 33.200: "3G Security; Network domain security; MAP application layer security".
- [7] ITU-T Recommendation E.164: "The international public telecommunication numbering plan".
- [8] 3GPP TS 33.204: "3G Security; Network domain security; TCAP User Security".
- [9] ITU-T Recommendations Q.711 to Q.716 (07/96), White Book Signalling Connection Control Part (SCCP).
- [10] 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".

3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in TR 21.905 [10] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in TR 21.905 [10].

TCAP user:	Application Part ide	entified by one of the following SCCP Subsystem Numbers:
	0000 0110	HLR (MAP)
	0000 0111	VLR (MAP)
	0000 1000	MSC (MAP)
	0000 1001	EIR (MAP)
	0000 1010	is allocated for evolution (possible Authentication Centre)

6

 1001 0001
 GMLC (MAP)

 1001 0010
 CAP

 1001 0011
 gsmSCF (MAP) or IM-SSF (MAP) or Presence Network Agent

 1001 0101
 SGSN (MAP)

 1001 0110
 GGSN (MAP)

 0000 1011
 SSAP

3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

(void)

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in TR 21.905 [10] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in TR 21.905 [10].

CC	Country Code
IV	Initialisation Vector
MAC	Message Authentication Code
MNP	Mobile Number Portability
NDC	National Destination Code
NE	Network Entity
RN	Routeing Number
SAD	Security Association Database
SEG	Security Gateway
SPD	Security Policy Database
SPI	Security Parameter Index
SRF	Signalling Relay Function

4 Network architecture

In a PLMN that employs SS7 Security Gateways all TCAP user signalling messages entering or leaving the PLMN have to transit an SS7 Security Gateway which belongs to the PLMN and which performs the protection of leaving (i.e. outbound) messages and the protection checking and de-protection or blocking of entering (i.e. inbound) messages.

One or several SS7 Security Gateways may be employed within a PLMN.

An SS7 Security Gateway may be co-located with any TCAP user NE or it may stand alone. However, for the purpose of this document and without imposing any restrictions, it is assumed that the SS7 Security Gateways is a stand alone entity.

It is further assumed that the SS7 Security Gateways are located at the boarder of the PLMN i.e. inbound messages transit an SS7 Security Gateway before they reach any other node within the PLMN, and outbound messages transit an SS7 Security Gateway immediately before reaching a node outside the PLMN.

SS7 routeing is not impacted by the SS7 Security Gateway Architecture. As a consequence SS7 Security Gateways are stateless at TCAP level: No TCAP dialogue states are maintained in the SS7 Security Gateway since the outbound dialogue request message may transit a different SS7 Security Gateway than the corresponding inbound dialogue response message; similarly the inbound dialogue request message may transit a different SS7 Security Gateway tr

4.1 Scenarios

SS7 Security Gateways perform protection, de-protection, blocking and unmodified passing of TCAP user messages depending on the scenario as described below:

Note that scenarios 4.1.5, 4.1.6, 4.1.7, 4.1.8, and 4.1.9 are not applicable if all PLMN's TCAP user NEs are interconnected by PLMN internal signalling links and routing tables are set up not to allow these scenarios.

4.1.1 Outbound traffic (not yet protected) from own to foreign NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.1. The message is originated at a NE inside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway. This SS7 Security Gateway protects the message according to the relevant Security Policy with the relevant Security Association. The message may then transit several nodes outside the PLMN (including an SS7 Security Gateway) before it reaches its destination.



Figure 4.1.1

4.1.2 Inbound traffic from foreign to own NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.2. The message is originated at a NE outside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes (including an SS7 Security Gateway) outside the PLMN before it reaches the PLMN's SS7 Security Gateway. This SS7 Security Gateway checks whether the message is correctly protected according to the relevant security association. If it is not, the message is blocked (discarded), otherwise it is de-protected. To determine the relevant security association the fact that the message may have been relayed by an MNP-SRF in a transit network (see Section 4.1.10 and 5.1.2) needs to be taken into account. After successful de-protection the message may then transit several nodes inside the PLMN before it reaches the TCAP user NE.



Figure 4.1.2

4.1.3 Inbound transit traffic

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.3. The message is originated at a NE outside the transit PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes outside the transit PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in inbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway passes the message unmodified. The message may then transit several transit nodes inside the transit PLMN, another SS7 Security Gateway of the transit PLMN in outbound direction (see Section 4.1.4), and several transit nodes outside the transit and destination PLMN (potentially including an SS7 Security Gateway) before it reaches the destination PLMN.

Note: A PLMN operator may decide not to act as transit network for specific or all combinations of origin and destination. In this case the SS7 Security Gate way may block the inbound message.



Figure 4.1.3

4.1.4 Outbound transit traffic (not relayed)

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.4. The message is originated at a NE outside the transit PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes outside the transit PLMN (including an SS7 Security Gateway), an SS7 Security Gateway of the transit PLMN in inbound direction (see Section 4.1.3), and several transit nodes inside the transit PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in outbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway passes the message unmodified. The message may then transit several transit nodes outside the transit and destination PLMN (potentially including an SS7 Security Gateway) before it reaches the destination PLMN.



Figure 4.1.4

4.1.5 Outbound traffic from own to own NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.5. The message is originated at a NE inside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in outbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway protects the message according to the relevant Security Association. The message may then transit several transit nodes outside the PLMN, another SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in inbound direction (see Section 4.1.6) and several transit nodes within the PLMN before it reaches the destination NE inside the PLMN.



Figure 4.1.5

4.1.6 Inbound traffic from own to own NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.6. The message is originated at a NE inside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN, an SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in outbound direction (see Section 4.1.5), and several transit nodes outside the PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in inbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway checks whether the message is correctly protected according to the relevant Security Association. If it is not, the message is blocked (discarded), otherwise it is de-protected. The message may then transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN before it reaches the destination NE inside the PLMN.



Figure 4.1.6

4.1.7 Outbound traffic from foreign to own NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.7. The message is originated at a NE outside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes outside the PLMN, an SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in inbound direction (see Section 4.1.2), and several transit nodes inside the PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in outbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway protects the message according to the reverse relevant Security Association. The message may then transit several transit nodes outside the PLMN, another SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in inbound direction (see Section 4.1.2) and several transit nodes within the PLMN before it reaches the destination NE inside the PLMN.



Figure 4.1.7

4.1.8 Inbound traffic from own to foreign NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.8. The message is originated at a NE inside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN, an SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in outbound direction (see Section 4.1.1), and several transit nodes outside the PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in inbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway checks whether the message is correctly protected according to the relevant security association. If it is not, the message is blocked (discarded); otherwise it is passed unmodified. The message may then transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN, another SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in outbound direction (see Section 4.1.9) and several transit nodes outside the PLMN, including an SS7 Security Gateway) before it reaches the destination NE outside the PLMN.



Figure 4.1.8

4.1.9 Outbound traffic (already protected) from own to foreign NE

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.9. The message is originated at a NE inside the PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes inside the PLMN, an SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in outbound direction (see Section 4.1.1), several transit nodes outside the PLMN, an SS7 Security Gateway of the PLMN in inbound direction (see Section 4.1.8), and several transit nodes inside the PLMN before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway. This SS7 Security Gateway passes the message unmodified. The message may then transit several transit nodes outside the PLMN (including an SS7 Security Gateway) before it reaches the destination NE outside the PLMN.



Figure 4.1.9

4.1.10 Outbound transit traffic (relayed by SRF)

This scenario is shown in figure 4.1.10. The message (originally destined for the PLMN) is originated at a NE outside the transit PLMN. It may transit several transit nodes outside the transit PLMN (including an SS7 Security Gateway), an SS7 Security Gateway of the transit PLMN in inbound direction (see Section 4.1.2), several transit nodes inside the transit PLMN, and an MNP-SRF which replaces the SCCP called party address of the message before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in outbound direction. This SS7 Security Gateway recognizes that the message was relayed by an SRF by analyzing the SCCP called party address and protects the message with the relevant Security Association. The message may then transit several transit nodes outside the transit and destination PLMN (potentially including an SS7 Security Gateway) before it reaches the destination PLMN.



Figure 4.1.10

5 Detailed Behaviour of the SS7 Security Gateway

5.1 TCAP user traffic

5.1.1 General

With regard to TCAP user traffic the SS7 Security Gateway performs message protection, protection checking and deprotection, transparent passing, and blocking of messages depending on the message's origin (SCCP calling party address), the message's destination (SCCP called party address), and the message's direction (inbound or outbound) as shown in figure 5.1.1



Figure 5.1.1/1: Process SS7 Security Gateway (sheet 1 of 2)



15

Figure 5.1.1/2: Process SS7 Security Gateway (sheet 2 of 2)



Figure 5.1.2: Macro Check_Direction (sheet 1 of 1)

16



Figure 5.1.3: Macro Inbound_own_to_own (sheet 1 of 1)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, ora parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message is not protected" takes the "no"-exit if

user-information within the Dialogue Portion is identified by the object identifier ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or operationCode within an Invoke or ReturnResult Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or errorCode within an ReturnError Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS.

The decision box "message should be protected according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if the message's SCCP calling party address identifies a PLMN for which an SPD entry for incoming messages exists.

The decision box "fall back allowed according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if the SPD entry for incoming messages is marked "fall back allowed".

The decision box "calling party address consistent with SPI" takes the "yes"-exit if the SPI within the message's Security Header points to an SA that was negotiated with the PLMN derived from the message's SCCP calling party address.

The decision box "protection mode acceptable according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if the protection mode within the message's Security Header is found in the SPD-entry for incoming messages.



Figure 5.1.4: Macro Inbound_own_to_foreign (sheet 1 of 1)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, or a parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message is not protected" takes the "no"-exit if user-information within the Dialogue Portion is identified by the object identifier ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or operationCode within an Invoke or ReturnResult Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or errorCode within an ReturnError Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS.

The decision box "message should be protected according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if the message's SCCP called party address identifies a PLMN for which an SPD entry for outgoing messages exists.

The decision box "fall back allowed according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if the SPD entry for outgoing messages is marked "fall back allowed".

The decision box "called party address consistent with SPI" takes the "yes" -exit if the SPI within the message's Security Header points to an SA that was negotiated with the PLMN derived from the message's SCCP called party address.

The decision box "protection mode correct" takes the "yes"-exit if the presence/absence of octets 10 and 11 within the message's Security Header is consistent with the protection mode in the SPD-entry for outgoing messages. Note that octets 10 and 11 of the Security Header are only used to construct the IV which is not needed (and shall therefore be absent) if the protection mode is "authenticity and integrity".



Figure 5.1.5/1: Macro Inbound_foreign_to_own (sheet 1 of 2)

21



Figure 5.1.5/2: Macro Inbound_foreign_to_own (sheet 2 of 2)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, or a parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message is not protected" takes the "no"-exit if user-information within the Dialogue Portion is identified by the object identifier ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or

3GPP

Release 7

operationCode within an Invoke or ReturnResult Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or errorCode within an ReturnError Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS.

The decision box "relayed by SRF" takes the "yes"-exit if the SCCP called party address consists of a Routing Number (RN) pointing to the own network and an MSISDN pointing to a relay network within the portability cluster.

23

The decision box "message should be protected according to SPD (relay network)" takes the "yes" -exit if an SPD entry for incoming messages exists for the relay network.

The decision box "Fall Back allowed according to SPD (relay network)" takes the "yes"-exit if the SPD entry for incoming messages (from the relay network) is marked "fall back allowed".

The decision box "message should be protected according to SPD (orig. network)" takes the "yes"-exit if the message's SCCP calling party address identifies a PLMN for which an SPD entry for incoming messages exists.

The decision box "Fall Back allowed according to SPD (relay network)" takes the "yes" -exit if the SPD entry for incoming messages (from the network identified by the SCCP calling party address) is marked "fall back allowed".

The decision box "calling party address consistent with SPI" takes the "yes"-exit if the SPI within the message's Security Header points to an SA that was negotiated with the PLMN derived from the message's SCCP calling party address.

The decision box "protection mode acceptable according to SPD (relay network)" takes the "yes" -exit if the presence/absence of octets 10 and 11 within the message's Security Header is consistent with a protection mode found in the SPD-entry (for incoming messages from the network identified by the SPI). Note that octets 10 and 11 of the Security Header are only used to construct the IV which is not needed (and shall therefore be absent) if the protection mode is "authenticity and integrity".

The decision box "protection mode acceptable according to SPD (orig. network)" takes the "yes"-exit if the presence/absence of octets 10 and 11 within the message's Security Header is consistent with a protection mode found in the SPD-entry (for incoming messages from the network identified by the SCCP calling party address). Note that octets 10 and 11 of the Security Header are only used to construct the IV which is not needed (and shall therefore be absent) if the protection mode is "authenticity and integrity".



24

Figure 5.1.6: Macro Outbound_own_to_own (sheet 1 of 1)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, or a parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message needs to be protected according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if an SPD entry for outgoing messages exists (for messages sent to the own network).



Figure 5.1.7: Macro Outbound_own_to_foreign (sheet 1 of 1)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, or a parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message is protected" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information within the Dialogue Portion is identified by the object identifier ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or

25

operationCode within an Invoke or ReturnResult Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or errorCode within an ReturnError Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS.

The decision box "message needs to be protected according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if an SPD entry for outgoing messages exists (for messages sent to the network identified by the SCCP called party address).



Figure 5.1.8: Macro Outbound_foreign _to_own (sheet 1 of 1)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, or

a parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message needs to be protected according to SPD" takes the "yes"-exit if an SPD entry for incoming messages exists (for messages received from the network identified by the SCCP calling party address). If more than one acceptable protection modes are present, one may be chosen.

The task box "protect message with reverse SA" performs protection of the message with the SA that is to be used for de-protection when receiving messages from the network in question.



Figure 5.1.9: Macro Outbound_foreign _to_foreign (sheet 1 of 1)

The decision box "message contains protectable parameter" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information is present in the Dialogue Portion, or a parameter is present in an Invoke or Return Error Component, or a result is present in a ReturnResult Component.

The decision box "message is protected" takes the "yes"-exit if user-information within the Dialogue Portion is identified by the object identifier ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or operationCode within an Invoke or ReturnResult Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS or errorCode within an ReturnError Component takes the global value ss7-ProtectedDialogueAS.

The decision box "relayed by SRF" takes the "yes"-exit if the SCCP called party address consists of a Routing Number (RN) pointing to the own network and an MSISDN pointing to a relay network within the portability cluster.

The decision box "message needs to be protected according to SPD takes the "yes" -exit if an SPD entry for outgoing messages exists (for messages sent to the network identified by the SCCP called party address).

5.1.2 Interactions with Mobile Number Portability

In Mobile Number Portability scenarios (see 3GPP TS 23.066 [4]) a Signalling Relay Function (SRF) may relay SCCP traffic by modifying the SCCP called party address as shown in figure 4.1.10.

A relayed message's SCCP called party address consists of a Routing Number (RN) and an MSISDN (see 3GPP TS 23.066 [4]).

An SS7 Security Gateway needs to recognize (by analyzing the SCCP called party address) whether or not a message was relayed in order to

- a) distinguish outbound transit traffic relayed by an SRF in the own network (which needs to be protected) from other outbound transit traffic (which needs to be passed transparently), and to
- b) distinguish inbound terminating traffic relayed by an SRF within the portability cluster (where the check needs to be based on the policy identified by the MSISDN within the SCCP called party address) from other inbound terminating traffic (where the check needs to be based on the policy identified by the SCCP calling party address).
 - NOTE: To ensure a reliable protection for an outbound traffic relayed by MNP-SRF scenarios before it reaches the SS7 Security Gateway in outbound direction each involved networks also all those belonging to the portability cluster should make use of TCAP-User Security.

5.1.3 Interactions with SCCP segmentation

When the incoming SCCP message makes use of SCCP segmenting (i.e. several XUDT messages are received rather than one UDT or a single XUDT message) the SS7 Security Gateway has to perform reassembling before processing the message, and it may have to perform segmenting before sending the processed message.

It may happen that the received SCCP message (containing an unprotected TCAP user payload) is not segmented (UDT or single XUDT), but after security processing the message's length is increased, so that the processed message needs to be segmented before it is sent. This situation may be undesired (since transfer of XUDT messages is not guaranteed by all transit networks) but cannot be avoided by the SS7 Security Gate way (see note).

Note: The support of message segmentation at the SCCP layer in all transit networks could be enforced by mandating the usage of the White Book SCCP [9]. GSMA would work with International Carriers to ensure that fully operationally-verified support of XUDT is available before TCAPsec gateways are deployed.

It may also happen that the received (protected) message is segmented (several XUDTs), but after security processing the message's length is decreased, so that the processed message does not need to be segmented before it is sent. In this case the de-protecting SS7 Security Gateway needs to know some SCCP-details of the original unprotected message as sent from the originating NE to the protecting SS7 Security Gateway. These original SCCP information needs to be transported within the TCAP-user parameter of the protected message. Depending on this information the de-protecting SS7-Security Gateway can decide whether to send a UDT or a single XUDT message towards the destination.

In cases where the received unprotected message is not segmented but the (to be) sent protected message needs to be segmented, the SS7 Security Gateway has to replace the message's SCCP calling party address with its own address. This is to guarantee uniqueness of the combination of the SCCP calling party address and the Segmentation local reference in the (to be) sent message. The original SCCP calling party address needs to be transported within the TCAP-user parameter of the (first segment of the) protected message.

If the received protected message contains an original SCCP calling party address within the TCAP-user parameter, the de-protecting SS7 Security Gateway has to replace the SCCP calling party address with the original SCCP calling party address before forwarding the de-protected message to the destination.

An SS7 Security Gateway that has sent a segmented, protected message with a replaced SCCP calling party address may receive an SCCP XUDTS message with its own address as called party address. In this case the SS7 Security Gateway shall retrieve the original SCCP calling party address, the original TCAP Message type and TCAP transaction id from the data parameter of the received XUDTS message and construct a UDTS message with unmodified Return cause, called party address replaced with the retrieved original calling party address, unmodified calling party address, and Data parameter containing the retrieved TCAP message type and TCAP transaction id, and forward it to the destination.

5.1.4 Protocol Details

5.1.4.1 Transformation of unprotected message to protected message

The unprotected TCAP-user message is either transported within an SCCP UDT message or it is transported within a single SCCP XUDT message or it is segmented over several SCCP XUDT messages. Other SCCP message types are not subject to protection.

The transformation process is done in 3 steps:

Step 1: SCCP re-assembly of the unprotected message

In a first step the unprotected message is transformed into an intermediate unprotected representation which is made up of the following parameters:

SCCP Message type		
SCCP Protocol class		
SCCP Hop counter (optional)		
SCCP Called party address		
SCCP Calling party address		
SCCP Segmentation local reference (optional)		
SCCP Importance (optional)		
SCCP Data (made up of the following parameters:	TCAP Message type	
	TCAP orig. Transaction Id (optional)	
	TCAP dest. Transaction Id (optional)	
	TCAP Dialogue Portion (optional)	
	TCAP Component Portion (optional))	

If the unprotected message was transported within an SCCP UDT message, the intermediate unprotected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Message type	UDT
SCCP Protocol class	same as in the received UDT message
SCCP Hop counter	absent
SCCP Called party address	same as in the received UDT message
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the received UDT message
SCCP Segmentation local reference	absent
SCCP Importance	absent
SCCP Data	same as in the received UDT message

If the unprotected message was transported within a single SCCP XUDT message, the intermediate unprotected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Message type	XUDT
SCCP Protocol class	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Hop counter	same as in the received XUDT message

SCCP Called party address	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Segmentation local reference	absent
SCCP Importance	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Data	same as in the received XUDT message

If the unprotected message was segmented over several SCCP XUDT messages, the intermediate unprotected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Message type	XUDT
SCCP Protocol class	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Hop counter	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Called party address	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Segmentation local reference	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Importance	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Data	concatenation of the received segments (for details of the re-
	assembly procedure see ITU-T Q.714 [9])

Step 2: Protection

In a second step the intermediate unprotected representation is transformed into an intermediate protected representation which is made up of the following parameters:

SCCP Hop counter (optional)	
SCCP Called party address	
SCCP Calling party address	
SCCP Segmentation local reference (optional)	
SCCP Importance (optional)	
Original SCCP in fo (made up of the following parameters:	Original SCCP Calling party address (optional)
	Original SCCP Message type
	Original SCCP Protocol class)
Original TCAP info (made up of the following parameters:	Original TCAP Message type
	otid (optional)
	dtid (optional))
TCAPsec Security header	
TCAPsec Cipher- or Cleartext	
TCAPsecMAC	

The intermediate unprotected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Hop counter	same as SCCP Hop counter in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
SCCP Called party address	same as in the intermediate unprotected representation
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the intermediate unprotected representation
SCCP Segmentation local reference	same as in the intermediate unprotected representation
SCCP Importance	same as SCCP Importance in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
Original SCCP in fo made up of the following parameters:	
Original SCCP Message type	same as SCCP Message type in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
Original SCCP Protocol class	same as SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
Original TCAP info made up of the following parameters:	
Original TCAP Message type	same as TCAP Message type in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
TCAP ot id	same as TCAP orig. Transaction Id in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
TCAP dtid	same as TCAP dest. Transaction Id in the intermediate
	unprotected representation
TCAPsec Security header	See 3GPP TS 33.204 [8]
TCAPsec Cipher- or Cleartext	result of applying the encryption function to the
	concatenation of Dialogue Portion and Component

	Portion of the intermediate unprotected representation (ciphertext), or concatenation of Dialogue Portion and Component Portion of the intermediate unprotected representation(cleartext)
TCAPsec MAC	result of applying the integrity function to the concatenation of Security header and Cipher- or Cleartext of the intermediate protected representation.

31

Step 3: SCCP segmentation of the protected message

In a third step the intermediate protected representation is transformed into a single SCCP UDT message, a single SCCP XUDT message, or several SCCP XUDT messages depending on the Original SCCP Message type of the intermediate protected representation and the need for segmentation as follows:

If the Original SCCP Message type in the intermediate protected representation takes the value "UDT" and the message need not be segmented, it is transformed into a single SCCP UDT message with following parameter values:

Message Type	UDT
Protocol class	same as Original SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate protected representation
Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate protected representation
Calling party address	same as SCCP Calling party address in the intermediate protected representation
Data	(see below)

If the Original SCCP Message type in the intermediate protected representation takes the value "XUDT" and the message need not be segmented, it is transformed into a single SCCP XUDT message with following parameter values:

Message Type	XUDT
Protocol class	same as Original SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate protected representation
Hop counter	same as Original SCCP Hop counter in the intermediate protected representation
Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate protected representation
Calling party address	same as SCCP Calling party address in the intermediate protected representation
Data	(see below)
Segmentation	absent
Importance	same as Original SCCP Importance in the intermediate protected representation

If the Original SCCP Message type in the intermediate protected representation takes the value "UDT" and the message needs to be segmented, it is transformed into several SCCP XUDT message with following parameter values:

Message Type	XUDT
Protocol class	first segment: class 1 (in sequence delivery), return option: same as in Original SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate protected representation subsequent segment: class 1 (in sequence delivery), return option: no special options
Hop counter	absent
Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate protected representation
Calling party address	the SS7 Security Gateway's own address
Data	(segment of see below)
Segmentation	see [9]
Importance	absent

If the Original SCCP Message type in the intermediate protected representation takes the value "XUDT" and the message needs to be segmented, it is transformed into several SCCP XUDT message with following parameter values:

Message Type	XUDT
Protocol class	first segment: class 1 (in sequence delivery), return option: same as in Original SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate protected representation subsequent segment: class 1 (in sequence delivery), return option: no special options
Hop counter	same as Original SCCP Hop counter in the intermediate protected representation
Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate protected representation
Calling party address	if SCCP Segmentation Local reference is present in the intermediate protected
	representation: same as SCCP Calling party address in the intermediate protected representation;
	otherwise: the SS7 Security Gateway's own address
Data	(segment of see below)
Segmentation	see [9]; if SCCP Segmentation Local reference is present in the intermediate protected

32

Importance	representation, the same value shall be used. same as Original SCCP Importance in the intermediate protected representation.
The SCCP Data parameter (re-	assembled) shall take the following value:
TCAP Message type TCAP DialoguePortion TCAP ComponentPorti invokeId lin kedId operation parameter	unidirectional absent on one invoke component with: (any legal value) absent Code local value 90 (secureTransport) r ANY DEFINED BY operationCode
SS7-Secure-Transport-Oper itu-t identified-organ gsm-Network (1) module:	ation-and-DataTypes { ization (4) etsi (0) mobileDomain (0) s (3) ss7-Secure-Transport-Operation-and-DataTypes (27) version1 (1)}
DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN	
EXPORTS secureTransport ; IMPORTS OPERATION FROM Remote-Operations-In: joint-iso-itu-t remote-	formation-Objects { -operations(4)
<pre>informationObjects(5) ve ;</pre>	<pre>>rsion1(0) }</pre>
secureTransport OPERATION ARGUMENT SecureTransportA CODE local:90 }	1 ::= { rg
<pre>SecureTransportArg ::= SEG originalSCCP-Info originalTCAP-Info protectedPayload }</pre>	<pre>¿UENCE { [0] OriginalSCCP-Info OPTIONAL, [1] OriginalTCAP-Info, [2] ProtectedPayload</pre>
Original SCCP-Info ::= SEQU original SCCP-MessageT original SCCP-Message original SCCP-Message type; original SCCP-Protocol original SCCP-Protocol SCCP-Protocol clas original SCCP-CallingF original SCCP-Calling SCCP Calling party }	<pre>JENCE { ype [0] OriginalSCCP-MessageType OPTIONAL, ageType shall be present if it is different from the actual otherwise it may be absent Class [1] OriginalSCCP-ProtocolClass OPTIONAL colClass shall be present if it is different from the actual s (first segment); otherwise it may be absent. artyAddress [2] OriginalSCCP-CallingPartyAddress OPTIONAL, ngPartyAddress shall be present if and only if the actual address is the SS7 Security Gateway's own address</pre>
Original SCCP-MessageType udt (9), xudt (17) } this parameter sha intermediate prote	:= ENUMERATED { 11 take the value of the Original SCCP Message type from the cted representation

OriginalSCCP-ProtocolClass ::= OCTET STRING(SIZE(1)) -- coded according to ITU-T Q.713

OriginalSCCP-CallingPartyAddress ::=	OCTET STRING(SIZE(318))	
coded according to ITU-T Q.7.	13	
Octet 1: Address indicator		
Octets 2 - n: Address		
Original TCA P-Info SEQUENCE		
original TCA P-Massage Turne	Original TCA R-MassageTuma	
ofiginalicar-messagerype	OTIGINALICAL-MESSAGELYPE,	
	DELD	OPTIONAL,
	DIID	OPTIONAL
}		
Original TCAP-Message Type ::= ENUMERA	TED {	
unidirectional (97),		
begin (98),		
end (100),		
continue (101),		
abort (103)}		
this parameter shall take the	e value of the Original TCAP M	essage type from the
intermediate protected repres	sentation	
OTTD := OCTET STRING (SIZE $(1, 4)$)		
OTID shall take the value of	the TCAP otid from the interm	ediate protected
representation	the form offic from the interim	calace proceetea
representation		
DTID ::= OCTET STRING(SIZE(14))		
DTID shall take the value of	the TCAP dtid from the interm	ediate protected
representation		
<pre>ProtectedPayload ::= OCTET STRING(SI</pre>	ZE(133438))	
The protected payload is the	concatenation of	
9 or 11 octets SecurityHeader	r,	
n octets ciphertext or clear	text, and	
4 octets MAC		
The SecurityHeader is coded a	as follows (see 3GPP TS 33.204	[8]):
Octets 1-4: SPT	·	
Octets 5-8: TVP. The TVP is a	a 32 bit time stamp. Its value	is binary coded
and indicate	the number of intervals of i	100 milliseconds
	3 the humber of intervals of i	TC Intrinseconds
Octot Q. Indicator Buto with	bite 7 1 energy and bit 0 if a	ic indicator processor of
Occel 9. Indicator Byte With	DICS / -I Spare and DIC VII S	er indicates presence or
$ \text{Octot } 10 \cdot \text{SS7 } \text{SEC}_{-1}$		
ULLEL IV. 33/ 3EG-10		

-- Octet 11: Prop

END

5.1.4.2 Transformation of protected message to unprotected message

The protected TCAP-user message is either transported within an SCCP UDT message or it is transported within a single SCCP XUDT message or it is segmented over several SCCP XUDT messages. Other SCCP message types are not subject to protection.

The transformation process is done in 3 steps:

Step 1: SCCP re-assembly of the protected message

In a first step the protected message is transformed into the intermediate protected representation (see chapter 5.1.4.1):

If the protected message was transported within an SCCP UDT message, the intermediate protected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Hop counter	absent
SCCP Called party address	same as in the received UDT message
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the received UDT message
SCCP Segmentation local reference	absent

SCCP Importance	absent
Original SCCP in fo	
Original SCCP Calling party address	absent
Original SCCP Message type	UDT
Original SCCP Protocol class	same as SCCP Protocol class in the received UDT message
Original TCAP info	
Original TCAP Message type	same as Original TCAP Message type in the TCAP-invoke
	component parameter of the received message
otid	same as otid in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received message
dtid	same as dtid in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received message
TCAPsec Security header	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received message
TCAPsec Cipher- or Cleartext	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received message
TCAPsec MAC	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received message

If the protected message was transported within a single SCCP XUDT message, the intermediate protected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Hop counter	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Called party address	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the received XUDT message
SCCP Segmentation local reference	absent
SCCP Importance	same as in the received XUDT message
Original SCCP in fo	
Original SCCP Calling party address	absent
Original SCCP Message type	same as OriginalSCCP-MessageType in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received message
Original SCCP Protocol class	same as OriginalSCCP-ProtocolClass in the TCAP-invoke
	component parameter of the received message
Original TCAP info	
Original TCAP Message type	same as Original TCAP Message type in the TCAP-invoke
	component parameter of the received message
otid	same as otid in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received message
dtid	same as dtid in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received message
TCAPsec Security header	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received message
TCAPsec Cipher- or Cleartext	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received message
TCAPsec MAC	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received message

If the protected message was transported within several SCCP XUDT message, the intermediate protected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Hop counter	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Called party address	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Calling party address	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Segmentation local reference	same as in the first received XUDT message
SCCP Importance	same as in the first received XUDT message
Original SCCP in fo	
Original SCCP Calling party address	same as OriginalSCCP-CallingPartyAddress in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received reassembled message
Original SCCP Message type	same as OriginalSCCP-MessageType in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the received reassembled message
Original SCCP Protocol class	same as OriginalSCCP-ProtocolClass in the TCAP-invoke

	component parameter of the received reassembled message
Original TCAP info	
Original TCAP Message type	same as OriginalTCAP-MessageType in the TCAP-invoke
	component parameter of the received reassembled message
otid	same as otid in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received reassembled message
dtid	same as dtid in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received reassembled message
TCAPsec Security header	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received reassembled message
TCAPsec Cipher- or Cleartext	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received reassembled message
TCAPsec MAC	same as received in the TCAP-invoke component parameter of the
	received reassembled message

Step 2: De-Protection

In a second step the intermediate protected representation is transformed into an intermediate unprotected representation (see chapter 5.1.4.1):

The intermediate unprotected representation of the message takes the following values:

SCCP Message type	same as OriginalSCCP-MessageType from the TCAP-invoke component's parameter of the intermediate protected representation
SCCP Protocol class	same as OriginalSCCP-ProtocolClass from the TCAP-invoke component's parameter of the intermediate protected representation
SCCP Hop counter	same as SCCP Hop counter in the intermediate protected representation
SCCP Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate protected representation
SCCP Calling party address	if OriginalSCCP-CallingPartyAddress is present in the intermediate protected representation, its value is taken; otherwise same as SCCP Calling party address of the intermediate protected representation
SCCP Segmentation local reference	if SCCP Message type in the intermediate unprotected representation is XUDT, then same as in the intermediate protected representation: otherwise absent.
SCCP Importance SCCP Data :	same as in the intermediate unprotected representation
TCAP Message type	same as OriginalTCAP-MessageType in the intermediate protected representation
TCAP orig. Transaction Id	same as otid in the intermediate protected representation
TCAP dest. Transaction Id	same as dtid in the intermediate protected representation
TCAP Dialogue Portion (optional)	First part of the cleartext (as indicated by TAG and LENGTH according to BER). If encryption was applied then ciphertext needs to be converted first to cleartext
TCAP Component Portion (optional))	second part of the cleartext (as indicated by TAG and LENGTH according to BER). If encryption was applied then ciphertext needs to be converted first to cleartext

Step 3: SCCP segmentation of the unprotected message

In a third step the intermediate unprotected representation is transformed into a single SCCP UDT message, a single SCCP XUDT message, or several SCCP XUDT messages depending on the SCCP Message type of the intermediate unprotected representation and the need for segmentation as follows:

If the SCCP Message type in the intermediate unprotected representation is "UDT", it is transformed into a single SCCP UDT message with following parameter values:

Message Type	UDT
Protocol class	same as SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate unprotected representation

Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate unprotected representation
Calling party address	same as SCCP Calling party address in the intermediate unprotected representation
Data	same as SCCP Data in the intermediate unprotected representation

If the SCCP Message type in the intermediate unprotected representation is "XUDT" and the message does not need to be segmented, it is transformed into a single SCCP XUDT message with following parameter values:

Message type	XUDT
Protocol class	same as SCCP Protocol class in the intermediate unprotected representation
Hop counter	same as SCCP Hop counter in the intermediate unprotected representation
Called party address	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate unprotected representation
Calling party address	same as SCCP Calling party address in the intermediate unprotected representation
Data	same as SCCP Data in the intermediate unprotected representation
Segmentation	absent
Importance	same as SCCP Importance in the intermediate unprotected representation

If the SCCP Message type in the intermediate unprotected representation is "XUDT" and the message needs to be segmented, it is transformed into several SCCP XUDT message with following parameter values:

Message type (all segments)	XUDT
Protocol class (first segment)	class 1 (in sequence delivery), return option: same as in SCCP Protocol
	class of the intermediate unprotected representation
(subsequent segments)	class 1 (in sequence delivery), return option: no special options
Hop counter (all segments)	same as SCCP Hop counter in the intermediate unprotected representation
Called party address (all segments)	same as SCCP Called party address in the intermediate unprotected
Calling party address (all segments)	same as SCCP Calling party address in the intermediate unprotected representation
Data	segment of SCCP Data from the intermediate unprotected representation (see ITU-T Q.714 [9])
Segmentation	see [9]. Local reference shall be taken from the intermediate unprotected representation
Importance (all segments)	same as SCCP Importance in the intermediate unprotected representation

5.1.4.3 Handling of received XUDTS messages and UDTS messages

An SS7 Security Gateway shall not try to re-assemble XUDTS messages, since the SCCP option "return on error" is not set for subsequent XUDT segments. As a consequence the SS7 Security Gateway shall not try to protect or de-protect XUDTS messages (fragments) or UDTS messages. However, special handling of XUDTS messages and UDTS messages is required as follows:

Outbound direction

Instead of re-assembling and protecting the XUDTS messages or protecting UDTS messages, the SS7 Security Gateway shall remove the TCAP Dialogue Portion and the TCAP Component Portion from the SCCP Data parameter before sending the XUDTS message or UDTS message. This is in order not to pass the cleartext (or fragment of the cleartext) in outbound direction. SCCP message type and addresses shall not be changed.

An example is shown in figure 5.1.4.3-1: A transit node in PLMN 2 cannot deliver the UDT message and therefore returns an UDTS message. SS7 Security Gateway 2 in PLMN 2 removes the cleartext (TCAP dialogue portion and TCAP componen portion) from the SCCP data parameter. SS7 Security Gateway 1 in PLMN 1 recognizes that the received UDTS message does not contain a TCAP unidirectional message with a secure transport invoke component and therefore it does not modify the SCCP message.



Figure 5.1.4.3-1

Inbound direction

Instead of re-assembling and de-protecting the XUDTS messages or de-protecting UDTS messages, the SS7 Security Gateway shall analyze the SCCP Called party address. If it matches with the SS7 Security Gateway's own address, it shall recover the OriginalSCCP-CallingPartyAddress from the (fragment in the) data parameter and replace the SCCP Called party address with the recovered value. In any case the SS7 Security Gateway shall recover and analyze the TCAP Message type from the (fragment in the) data parameter. If the recovered value is "unidirectional" and a invoke component with operation code "secure transport" is included, the SS7 Security Gateway shall recover the originalTCAP-MessageType, otid, and dtid from the OriginalTCAP-Info, replace the TCAP Message type with the original TCAP-MessageType, insert otid and dtid and remove the remaining material from the SCCP data parameter. If the received message is an XUDTS message and the original SCCP Message type was UDT then the SS7 Security shall modify the SCCP Message type to UDTS.

An example is shown in figure 5.1.4.3-2: A transit node in a transit network cannot deliver the XUDT messages and therefore returns an XUDTS message (note that the second XUDT does not have the SCCP return option set). SS7 Security Gate way 1 in PLMN 1 recognizes that the received XUDTS message does contain a TCAP unidirectional message with a secure transport invoke component and therefore, since the original SCCP -MessageType is UDT, modifies the SCCP Message type from XUDTS to UDTS. Furthermore, the TCAP MessageType is modified from unidirectional to the original TCAP-MessageType, the Transaction Ids are inserted, and the remaining material (fragment of the ciphertext) is removed.

In addition the SS7 Security Gateway 1 in PLMN 1 recognizes that the received XUDTS message does contain SS7 Security Gateway 1's own address as SCCP Called party address and therefore replaces it with the original SCCPCalling party address.



Figure 5.1.4.3-2

5.2 Security Policy Database

The content of the Security Policy Database for incoming messages is shown in table 5.2.1:

Table	5.2.1	SPD	for	incoming	messages
-------	-------	-----	-----	----------	----------

Source PLMN	acceptable protection modes	fall back allo wed
 CC+ one or more of NDC 	one or two of {Integrity+Authenticity, Integrity+Authenticity+Confidentiality}	one of {yes, no}

The key for database access is the Source PLMN (CC+NDC).

The content of the Security Policy Database for outgoing messages is shown in table 5.2.2:

Figure 5.2.2 SPD for outgoing messages

Destination protection mode	fall back
-----------------------------	-----------

PLMN		allowed
CC+ one or	one of	one of
hole of NDC	{Integrity+Authenticity,	{yes, no}
	Integrity+Authenticity+Confidentiality}	note 1

Note 1: The "fall back allowed" indicator in the SPD for outgoing messages is used in scenario 4.1.8 (inbound own to foreign) only.

The key for database access is the Destination PLMN (CC+NDC).

5.3 Security Association Database

The content of the Security Association Database for incoming messages is shown in table 5.3.1:

Table 5.	3.1 SAD	for inco	ming me	essages
----------	---------	----------	---------	---------

SPI	Source PLMN	security parameter
 SPI	CC + one or more of NDC	key, lifetime,

The key for database access is the SPI.

The content of the Security Policy Database for outgoing messages is shown in table 5.3.2:

Table 5.2.2 SAD for outgoing messages

Destination PLMN	SPIs	security parameter
 CC+ one or more of NDC 	one or more of SPI	one parameter set for every SPI

The key for database access is the Destination PLMN (CC+NDC).

Annex A: Migration strategy

Transition phase from unprotected to protected message transfer

In order to avoid traffic interruption during the transition phase from unprotected to protected message transfer between two operators' PLMNs, the following course of action is recommended:

Precondition: It is assumed that operator A has already successfully set up the use of SS7 security for traffic to and from operators B1, B2,..., and Bn and is now going to set up use of SS7 security for traffic to and from operator Bn+1.

1. Operator A negotiates Security Associations with operator Bn+1.

2. Operator A stores the SA in his SS7 Security Gateways and modifies the Security Policy in his gateways as follows: Messages received from operator Bn+1's PLMN should be protected according to the stored SA; however fall back to unprotected mode is allowed, i.e. unprotected messages received from operator Bn+1's PLMN are not blocked. This means that incoming messages with an SCCP calling party address pointing to operator Bn+1 are accepted by PLMN A. NOTE that other security measures may be in place that assist in identifying the origin of the message to a certain trust level e.g. TCAP handshake for MT forward SM.

3. When Operator A has completed step 2 in all his SS7 Security Gateways, he informs Operator Bn+1.

4. When Operator A receives confirmation from Operator Bn+1 that all SS7 Security Gateways in Operator Bn+1's PLMN have been set up to be able to process protected messages, Operator A modifies the Security Policy in his gateways as follows: Messages sent to operator Bn+1's PLMN shall be protected according to the stored SA.

5. When Operator A has completed step 4 in all his SS7 Security Gateways, he informs Operator Bn+1.

6. When Operator A receives confirmation from Operator Bn+1 that all SS7 Security Gateways in Operator Bn+1's PLMN have been set up to send protected messages to Operator A's PLMN, Operator A modifies the Security Policy in his gateways as follows: Fall back to unprotected mode is not allowed, i.e. unprotected messages received from operator Bn+1's PLMN are blocked.

Transition phase from protected to unprotected message transfer

In order to avoid traffic interruption during the transition phase from protected to unprotected message transfer between two operators' PLMNs, the following course of action is recommended:

Precondition: It is assumed that operator A has already successfully set up the use of SS7 security for traffic to and from operator B and is now going to remove use of SS7 security for traffic to and from operator B.

1. Operator A modifies the Security Policy in his gateways as follows: Messages received from operator B's PLMN may still be protected according to the stored SA, however fall back to unprotected mode is allowed.

2. When Operator A has completed step 1 in all his SS7 Security Gateways, he informs Operator B.

3. When Operator A receives confirmation from Operator B that all SS7 Security Gateways in Operator B's PLMN have been set up to allow fall back to unprotected mode, Operator A removes the SPD entries for outgoing messages in his SS7 Security Gateways.

4. When Operator A has completed step 3 in all his SS7 Security Gateways, he informs Operator B.

5. When Operator A receives confirmation from Operator B that the SPD entries for outgoing messages have been removed from all SS7 Security Gateways in Operator B's network, Operator A removes the SPD entries for incoming messages in his SS7 Security Gateways.

Transition phase from one protection mode to another protection mode

In order to avoid traffic interruption during the phase where the used protection mode is modified in the SS7 Security Gateways' SPDs, the following course of action is recommended:

Precondition (example): It is assumed that operator A's policy is to protect all messages sent to operator B's PLMN with protection mode "integrity+authenticity" and that operator B's policy is to accept only messages protected with protection mode "integrity+authenticity" from Operator A's PLMN; now Operator A is going to modify his policy to protect messages sent to Operator B's PLMN with protection mode "integrity+authenticity".

1. Operator B modifies the SPD for incoming messages by adding "integrity+authenticity+confidentiality" to the acceptable protection modes.

2. When step 1 is completed in all SS7 Security Gateways of Operator B's PLMN, he informs Operator A.

3. When Operator A receives confirmation from Operator B that the SPDs in all SS7 Security Gateways of Operator B's PLMN have been updated to accept the new protection mode in addition to the old one, Operator A modifies the SPD for outgoing messages in his SS7 Security Gateways to protect messages sent to Operator B's PLMN with protection mode "integrity+authenticity+confidentiality".

4. When step 3 is completed in all SS7 Security Gateways of Operator A's PLMN, he informs Operator B.

5. When receiving confirmation that the SPDs have been updated in all SS7 Security Gateways of Operator A's PLMN, Operator B modifies the SPD for incoming messages by removing "integrity+authenticity" from the acceptable protection modes.

Annex B: Change history

Change history							
Date	TSG #	TSG Doc.	CR	Rev	Subject/Comment	Old	New
2005-08					First version		0.1.0
2005-11					Revised at 3GPP CT4#29 "leaving message" replaced with "outbound message" "entering message" replaced with "inbound message"	0.1.0	0.2.0
2005-12	CT#30	CP- 050623			Sent to CT#30 for information	0.2.0	1.0.0
2005-12	CT#30	CP- 050661			TR number 29.800 added in cover page.	1.0.0	1.0.1
2006-02					<i>Revised at 3GPP CT4#30 (see C4-060016, C4-060060, C4-060137)</i>	1.0.1	1.2.0
2006-03	CT#31	CP- 060085			Sent to approval at CT#31	1.2.0	2.0.0

42